

STATE OF MICHIGAN
COURT OF APPEALS

SHELLEY STASSON,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

v

AMERITECH PUBLISHING, INC., d/b/a AT&T
YELLOW PAGES, d/b/a SBC YELLOW PAGES,
AT&T TELEHOLDINGS, INC., SBC, SBC
AMERITECH CORPORATION, and SBC
GLOBAL SERVICES, INC.,

Defendants-Appellees.

UNPUBLISHED

January 10, 2008

No. 275189

Oakland Circuit Court

LC No. 2006-076773-CB

Before: Fitzgerald, P.J., and Markey and Smolenski, JJ.

MEMORANDUM.

Plaintiff, an attorney appearing pro se, appeals as of right a circuit court order granting defendants' motion for summary disposition under MCR 2.116(C)(8). We affirm. This appeal is being decided without oral argument under MCR 7.214(E).

On appeal, plaintiff claims that the trial court erred when it granted summary disposition in favor of defendants. However, plaintiff has failed to present a single coherent argument to support that claim. Further, plaintiff's deficient arguments are unsupported by applicable law or meaningful reference to the facts of the case. Accordingly, we conclude that plaintiff has abandoned her claims of error. See *Mitcham v Detroit*, 355 Mich 182, 203; 94 NW2d 388 (1959) ("It is not enough for an appellant in his brief simply to announce a position or assert an error and then leave it up to this Court to discover and rationalize the basis for his claims, or unravel and elaborate for him his arguments, and then search for authority either to sustain or reject his position.").

Affirmed.

/s/ E. Thomas Fitzgerald

/s/ Jane E. Markey

/s/ Michael R. Smolenski